SINGLE BENCH

CF/100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 4416 Of 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Victoria Khakha W/o Shri Radhika Prasad Khakha , aged about 38 years , occupation service , Assistant Extension Officer (Sector Supervisor) Office of the Project Officer , Mahila Bal Vikas, Balrampur (I.C.D.S.) R/o Balrampur , Tahsil Pal District Surguja (CG).

P.R. No. 4359/06
Presented by Shri S. Das
dated 8/8/06

RECEIVED COPY
8/8/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary , General Administrative Department, Mantralay, D. K. S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG).
2. Secretary, Mahila Avam Bal Bikas, Mantralay, Raipur (CG).
3. The Collector, Surguja (CG).

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 04/08/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(2)

(5)

4. Project Officer I.C.D.S.
   Balrampur, Tahsil Pal District
   Surguja (CG).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE

CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

31

मामला क्रमांक WPHC 4546 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

21-8-2006

Mr. L.C. Das, counsel for the petitioner.

Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has questioned her transfer order dated 7-7-2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3, whereby she has been transferred from Block: Balrampur to Block: Bhaiyathan.

Main grievance of the petitioner is that parents of the petitioner are handicapped and moreover, mother of the petitioner is aged about more than 95 years and father of the petitioner is aged about more than 100 years. The petitioner has made representation on 22-7-2006 Annexure P-3, but nothing has been done in her case.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all her grievances, annexing a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

32

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of its receipt, after considering the objections raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3430/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.